



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/668,162	09/25/2000	Tetsuro Motoyama	194901US-2	1731
22850	7590	08/05/2004	EXAMINER	
OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND, MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C. 1940 DUKE STREET ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314				EL CHANTI, HUSSEIN A
ART UNIT		PAPER NUMBER		
2157				

DATE MAILED: 08/05/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Interview Summary	Application No. 09/668,162	Applicant(s) MOTOYAMA
	Examiner Saleh Najjar	Art Unit 2157

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Saleh Najjar. (3) Kurt Berger.

(2) Husein El-Chanti. (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 28 July 2004.

Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference
c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No.

If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 1-6 and 8-19.

Identification of prior art discussed: Chou, U.S. Patent No.6,327,533.


Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Discussed features recited in the independent claims. Particularly, the applicant's representative argued that the cited reference does not teach the claimed limitations of selecting a destination and selecting a data format for the position related data. An official response will be filed by the applicant that includes these arguments. Further consideration and / or search will be conducted in response.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

**SALEH NAJJAR
PRIMARY EXAMINER**



Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required